# THE QUANTUM EULER CLASS AND THE QUANTUM COHOMOLOGY OF THE GRASSMANNIANS

**BY** 

LOWELL ABRAMS

*Department of Mathematics, Rutgers University New Brunswick, NJ 08903, USA e-mail: labrams~math.rutgers.edu* 

### ABSTRACT

The Poincaré duality of classical cohomology and the extension of this duality to quantum cohomology endows these rings with the structure of a Frobenius algebra. Any such algebra possesses a canonical "characteristic element;" in the classical case this is the Euler class, and in the quantum case this is a deformation of the classical Euler class which we call the "quantum Euler class." We prove that the characteristic element of a Frobenius algebra  $A$  is a unit if and only if  $A$  is semisimple, and then apply this result to the cases of the quantum cohomology of the finite complex Grassmannians, and to the quantum cohomology of hypersurfaces. In addition we show that, in the case of the Grassmannians, the [quantum] Euler class equals, as [quantum] cohomology element and up to sign, the determinant of the Hessian of the [quantum] Landau-Ginzbug potential.

### 1. **Introduction**

In [22], Witten's study of instantons in the context of supersymmetry of systems with deformed Hamiltonians gave rise to the notion of a deformed cohomology ring. This "quantum cohomology ring" has since then been formulated precisely in terms of Gromov-Witten invariants of symplectic manifolds (see [13] for details). Necessarily, much of the attention paid to quantum cohomology has been from the point of view of symplectic geometry, e.g. [16, 13]. There has also been a great deal of natural interest in the realm of algebraic geometry, e.g. [11, 7].

Received June 13, 1998 and in revised form December 24, 1998

Nevertheless, there is strong motivation to pursue an approach which emphasizes and investigates the parallels between classical and quantum cohomology. The quantum cohomology ring of a manifold  $M$  is additively essentially the same as the classical cohomology ring of  $M$ , but possesses a multiplication which is a deformation of the classical cup product (see §5). The strong analogy between the algebraic structures of these two rings is responsible for the fact that the Euler class has a quantum analogue which we refer to as the "quantum Euler class," defined in §5 below. We show here that this element of the quantum cohomology ring carries with it information about the semisimplicity, or lack thereof, of the quantum cohomology ring.

The issue of semisimplicity of quantum cohomology rings has already been under investigation from other points of view, as in [9, 11]. In [9], Dubrovin defines a Frobenius manifold  $M$  to be a manifold such that each fiber of the tangent bundle *TM* has a Frobenius algebra structure, which varies "nicely" from fiber to fiber. This context allows for a close investigation of the nature of the quantum deformations of classical cohomology, which is generally realized as  $T_0M$ , the tangent plane at "the origin" in M. Moreover, the fact that M is a Frobenius manifold is equivalent to the existence of a "Gromov-Witten potential" on M satisfying various differential equations, including the "WDVV" equations [9, p. 133]. A Frobenius manifold is called "semisimple" if for a generic point  $t \in M$ , the Frobenius algebra  $T_t M$  is semisimple. In this case, a variety of additional results relating to the classification of Frobenius manifolds hold [9, Lecture 3].

The general structure and content of this article are as follows: The expository presentation of classical cohomology in §2 highlights the algebraic structures which are generalized and deformed in §§3–7. In particular, we offer a new canonical description of the Euler class e. The approach of §2 is extended to the general case of Frobenius algebras in §3, where the generalized analogue of the Euler class  $-$  "the characteristic element"  $-$  is shown to satisfy the following:

**THEOREM 3.4:** The *characteristic element of a Frobenius* a/gebra A is a *unit if and only if A is semisimple.* 

Strictly speaking, quantum cohomology should be viewed as a ring extension, and not as having coefficients in a field. Section 4 provides the algebraic framework necessary to generalize the material of §3 to the case of a Frobenius extension, i.e. when the base ring is not a field. This having been done,  $§5$  sketches the elements of the definition of quantum cohomology, emphasizing its structure as a deformation of classical cohomology, and in particular as a Frobenius extension.

The "quantum Euler class"  $e_q$ , which is a deformation of e, is defined here to be the characteristic element of the Frobenius extension structure of the quantum cohomology ring. Utilizing the material in  $\S 4$ , the semisimplicity test 3.4 can be applied to quantum cohomology rings.

In the classical and quantum cohomology rings of the complex Grassmannians, the Euler class and quantum Euler class take on additional significance. Section 6 outlines how these rings can be described as Jacobian algebras, where the ideal of relations is generated by the partial derivatives of the appropriate Landau-Ginzburg potential  $W$  ( $W_q$  in the quantum case). In this context we prove the following result:

THEOREM 6.1: *The classical and quantum Euler classes* are *equal, up to sign,*  to the determinants of the Hessians of W and  $W_q$ , respectively.

This connects the classical and quantum Euler classes to Morse-theoretic considerations regarding the functions W and  $W_q$ . In a sense, it brings them back to the roots of quantum cohomology in [22], which utilizes a Morse-theoretic approach. In addition, this result leads to a new proof of Proposition 6.5 which, modulo technicalities, states that the quantum cohomology of any finite complex Grassmannian manifold is semisimple.

Finally, §7 applies the semisimplicity test 3.4 to the quantum cohomology of hyperplanes, providing good contrast to the situation for the Grassmannians.

### **2. Classical cohomology and the Euler class**

Let X denote a connected K-oriented n-dimensional compact manifold, where  $n$ is even. Throughout this article, except where noted otherwise, homology and cohomology groups will use coefficients in a field  $K$  of characteristic 0. We note that  $H^*(X)$  admits a  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -grading (even degree elements versus odd degree elements). Because of this the general results of this paper, which are formulated for commutative algebras, will apply to  $H^*(X)$ . In the case of the Grassmannians, our main application here, the cohomology is concentrated in even dimensions and is therefore commutative.

Denote by  $[X] \in H_n(X)$  the fundamental orientation class of X, and let  $\langle -, - \rangle: H^*(X) \otimes H_*(X) \to K$  denote the Kronecker index. The kernel of the linear form  $\mu^*: H^*(X) \to K$ , where  $\mu$  denotes the generator of  $H^n(X)$  satisfying  $\langle \mu, [X] \rangle = 1$ , contains no nontrivial ideals. This form can be used to define the "intersection form"  $H^*(X) \otimes H^*(X) \to K$ , by  $a \otimes b \mapsto \mu^*(a \cup b)$ . The intersection form is nondegenerate.

338 L. ABRAMS Isr. J. Math.

Notice that we may view  $H_*(X)$  as a (left)  $H^*(X)$ -module via the cap product  $\bigcap: H^*(X) \otimes H_*(X) \to H_*(X)$ . Viewing  $H^*(X)$  as the regular (left) module over itself, we see that the Poincar6 duality map

$$
D: H^*(X) \to H_*(X), \quad \zeta \mapsto \langle -, \zeta \cap [X] \rangle
$$

is an  $H^*(X)$ -module isomorphism.

Let  $\Delta: X \to X \times X$  denote the diagonal map. The transfer map  $\Delta^! \colon H^*(X) \to$  $H^*(X) \otimes H^*(X)$  is defined to be the map which makes the following diagram commutative:

$$
H^*(X) \xrightarrow{\Delta^!} H^*(X) \otimes H^*(X)
$$
  
\n
$$
D \downarrow D^{-1} \otimes D^{-1} \uparrow
$$
  
\n
$$
H_*(X) \xrightarrow{\Delta^*} H_*(X) \otimes H_*(X)
$$

Here, we implicitly use the isomorphism  $H_*(X \times X) \cong H_*(X) \otimes H_*(X)$ , and the corresponding isomorphism for cohomology. Modulo this latter isomorphism, the cup-product in  $H^*(X)$  is given by  $\Delta^*: H^*(X) \otimes H^*(X) \to H^*(X)$ .

Let  $j: (X \times X, \emptyset) \to (X \times X, X \times X \setminus \Delta(X))$  denote inclusion of pairs. Consider the element  $\tau := \Delta^!(1) = (D^{-1} \otimes D^{-1}) \circ \Delta_*([X])$ . By the canonical isomorphism of the tangent bundle *TX* to the normal bundle of  $\Delta(X)$  in  $X \times X$  [15], this is just the image under  $j^*$  of the Thom class of *TX*. It follows that  $\Delta^* \circ \Delta^!(1) \in H^*(X)$ is in fact the Euler class  $e(X)$ .

We recall the well known formula [15]

$$
e(X) = \sum_{i} e_i e_i^{\#},
$$

where  $e_i$  ranges over a basis for  $H^*(X)$ , and  $e_j^{\#}$  ranges over the corresponding dual basis relative to the intersection form, i.e.  $\mu^*(e_i \cup e_j^{\#}) = \delta_{ij}$ .

### **3. Frobenius algebras and the characteristic element**

Let  $K$  be a field of characteristic 0 and let  $A$  be a finite-dimensional (as a vector space) commutative algebra over K, with unity  $1_A$ . Let  $\beta$ :  $A \otimes A \rightarrow A$  denote multiplication in A, and let  $\bar{\beta}: A \to \text{End}(A)$  denote the regular representation of A, i.e.  $\bar{\beta}(a)$  is "multiplication by a." View A as the regular module over itself, and view the vector space dual  $A^*$  as an A-module via the action  $A \otimes A^* \to A^*$ given by  $a \otimes \zeta \mapsto a \cdot \zeta := \zeta \circ \bar{\beta}(a)$ .

A is referred to as a Frobenius algebra if there exists an A-module isomorphism  $\lambda: A \rightarrow A^*$ , i.e. a nondegenerate pairing. In [8, pages 414-418] the existence of such an isomorphism is shown to be equivalent to the existence of a linear form  $f: A \to K$  whose kernel contains no nontrivial ideals, and to the existence of a nondegenerate linear form  $\eta: A \otimes A \rightarrow K$  which is associative, i.e.  $\eta(ab\otimes c) = \eta(a\otimes bc)$ . In fact, we may take  $f := \lambda(1_A)$  and  $\eta := f \circ \beta$ , and we will henceforth presume that  $\lambda$ , f and  $\eta$  are related in this way. When it is useful to emphasize the Frobenius algebra structure of  $A$  endowed by particular  $f, \eta$ , and  $\lambda$ , the algebra A will be denoted by  $(A, f)$ .

For the next result, view  $A \otimes A$  as an A-module via the usual module action  $\beta \otimes I$ :  $A \otimes A \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ .

THEOREM 3.1: A finite-dimensional commutative algebra A with  $1_A$  is a Frobenius algebra if and only if it has a cocommutative comultiplication  $\alpha: A \to A \otimes A$ , *with a counit, which is a* map *of A-modules.* 

*Proof:* A complete proof appears in [1]. Here, we simply note that if A is a Frobenius algebra with pairing  $\lambda$ , then the comultiplication  $\alpha$  is defined to be the map  $(\lambda^{-1} \otimes \lambda^{-1}) \circ \beta^* \circ \lambda$ :



Define the **characteristic element of**  $(A, f)$  to be the element  $\omega_{A,f}$  :=  $\beta \circ \alpha(1_A) \in A$ . This canonical element is shown in [1] to be of the form

$$
\omega_{A,f}=\sum_i e_i e_i^\# ,
$$

where  $e_i$  ranges over a basis for A and  $e_j^{\#}$  ranges over the corresponding dual basis relative to  $\eta$ .

It is easy to show that Theorem 3.1 still holds if "commutative" is replaced by "skew-commutative," as would be the case for  $H^*(X)$ . We see that in that case  $f, \lambda, \alpha, \omega$  correspond to  $\mu^*, D, \Delta^!, e(X)$ , respectively.

Given Frobenius algebras  $(A, f)$  and  $(B, g)$ , we can form the **direct sum**  $(A \oplus B, f \oplus g)$ , where  $A \oplus B$  denotes the "orthogonal direct sum" of algebras, and  $f \oplus g$  acts by  $f \oplus g(a \oplus b) := f(a) + g(b) \in K$ . The pair  $(A \oplus B, f \oplus g)$  is in fact a Frobenius algebra [1].

PROPOSITION 3.2: [1] *The characteristic element respects direct* sum *structure. Specifically,* 

$$
\omega_{A'\oplus A'',f'\oplus f''}=\omega_{A',f'}\oplus \omega_{A'',f''}\in A'\oplus A''.
$$

The minimal essential ideal  $S = S(A)$  of an Artinian ring A is called the socle. When A is indecomposable, the socle is ann(N), where  $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}(A) \subset A$  is the ideal of nilpotents. See [3, §9] for details.

PROPOSITION 3.3: In a Frobenius algebra A, the *ideal*  $\omega A$  is the socle of A.

This result is independent of the choice of Frobenius algebra structure.

The construction in the following proof is essentially taken from Sawin  $[17]$ , although this result does not explicitly appear there.

*Proof."* Because the socle of a finite-dimensional commutative algebra is the direct sum of the socles of its indecomposable constituents [3, §9], it suffices to prove this proposition for the indecomposable cases. Furthermore, we showed in [1] that the socle S of a Frobenius algebra is a principal ideal, any of whose nonzero elements is a generator, so it suffices to show that  $\omega$  lies in the socle. Notice that  $\omega$  is not 0; we have  $f(\omega) = (A : K) \in K$ , and this is not 0 in K, since K has characteristic 0.

If A is a field extension then  $\mathcal{N}(A) = \{0\}$ , so the socle  $\mathcal{S} = \text{ann}(\mathcal{N}) = A$ . But w is not zero, so it is a unit, and thus  $\omega A = A = S$ .

If A is not a field extension, define a chain of ideals  $S = S_1 \subset S_2 \subset \cdots \subset S_n =$ A, where each  $S_k$  is the pre-image in A of the socle of  $A/S_{k-1}$ . Choose a basis for  $S_1$ . Now, starting with  $i = 1$ , iteratively take the basis for  $S_i$  and extend it to a basis for  $S_{i+1}$ . Denote the elements of the basis for  $S_n = A$  by  $e_1, \ldots, e_m$ , and let  $e_1^{\#}, \ldots, e_m^{\#}$  denote the corresponding dual basis elements. Suppose  $e_i \in S_k \smallsetminus S_{k-1}$ and that  $a \in A$  is any nilpotent element. Then  $ae_i \in S_{k-1}$ , and therefore can be expressed as a linear combination of basis elements other than  $e_i$ . It follows that  $f(ae_ie_i^{\#}) = 0$ , so  $e_ie_i^{\#}\mathcal{N}(A) \subset \text{Ker } f$ . But Ker f can contain no nontrivial ideals, as mentioned above, so we must have  $e_i e_i^{\#} \mathcal{N}(A) = 0$ , i.e.  $e_i e_i^{\#} \in \mathcal{S}$ . This follows for each *i*, so  $\omega = \sum_i e_i e_i^{\#} \in \mathcal{S}$ .

THEOREM 3.4: The characteristic element  $\omega$  of a Frobenius algebra A is a unit *if and only if A is semisimple.* 

*Proof:* First, recall from the proof of 3.3 that  $\omega$  is not 0. Because A is commutative, it is semisimple if and only if it is a direct sum of fields. In such a case, the component of  $\omega$  in each component of A is nonzero (each component is a Frobenius algebra [1]), and hence a unit. Since a direct sum of units is a unit,  $\omega$  is a unit.

If some component  $A'$  of  $A$  is not a field, then it contains nontrivial nilpotents. In this case,  $S(A') = \text{ann}(\mathcal{N}(A'))$  is nilpotent, so  $\omega$  has a nilpotent component, and cannot be a unit.  $\blacksquare$ 

In a skew-commutative context, such as  $H^*(X)$ , the characteristic element is not necessarily nonzero. For instance, if  $X$  is an odd-dimensional compact oriented manifold then the characteristic element, i.e. the Euler class, is 0. However, if the characteristic element is in fact nonzero, then 3.4 still holds.

### 4. Frobenius extensions

Suppose  $A/R$  is a commutative ring extension with identity which is free of finite rank. By analogy with Frobenius algebras, if there exists a module isomorphism  $\lambda: A \rightarrow A^*$ , we call A a **Frobenius extension.** As in the case of Frobenius algebras, this is equivalent to the existence of maps  $\eta$  and  $\alpha$ . There is also a "Frobenius extension form"  $f := \lambda(1_A): A \to R$ , but in this context it is not sufficient for the kernel of  $f$  to contain no nontrivial ideals. The characteristic element  $\omega_{A,f}$  may be defined as for Frobenius algebras, but note that Theorem 3.4 no longer applies. This section provides an approach for dealing with this circumstance.

Suppose  $\theta: R \to S$  is a surjective homomorphism of rings (sending  $1_R \mapsto 1_S$ ). Let  $(A, f)$  denote a Frobenius extension, and define  $B = \theta_*(A)$  to be  $A \otimes_R S$ . In this ring, we have  $ra \otimes s = a \otimes \theta(r)s$  for all  $r \in R, s \in S$ , and  $a \in A$ . Let  $\tilde{\theta}$ :  $A \rightarrow B$  denote the ring homomorphism  $a \mapsto a \otimes 1_S$ . Define the linear form  $\overline{f}:B\to S$  by

$$
\bar{f}(a\otimes s):=\theta\circ f(a)s.
$$

The form  $\bar{f}$  is well-defined, since

$$
\bar{f}(ra\otimes s)=\theta\circ f(ra)s=\theta(rf(a))s=\theta(r)(\theta\circ f(a))s=\bar{f}(a\otimes \theta(r)s),
$$

and  $\bar{f}$  satisfies the commutative diagram

$$
A \xrightarrow{\tilde{\theta}} B
$$
\n
$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\n f & \uparrow \\
f & \downarrow \\
R & \xrightarrow{\theta} S\n \end{array}
$$

Let  $e_1,\ldots,e_n$  denote a basis for A, and let  $e_i^{\#},\ldots,e_n^{\#}$  denote the corresponding dual basis relative to  $\eta_A$ .

PROPOSITION 4.1: The form  $\bar{f}$  endows  $B = \theta_*(A)$  with a Frobenius extension *structure, and*   $\ddot{ }$ 

$$
\omega_{B,\bar{f}}=\theta(\omega_{A,f}).
$$

342 L. ABRAMS Isr. J. Math.

*Proof:* It suffices to show that the set  $\{\tilde{\theta}(e_1),\ldots,\tilde{\theta}(e_n)\}$  is a basis for B, and that its dual basis relative to the form  $B \otimes B \to S$ ,  $a \otimes b \mapsto \bar{f}(ab)$  is  $\{\tilde{\theta}(e_1^{\#}), \ldots, \tilde{\theta}(e_n^{\#})\}.$ The existence of a dual basis will show  $B$  is a Frobenius extension. The particular form of the basis and dual basis, together with the fact that  $\tilde{\theta}$  is a homomorphism, will prove the claim about  $\omega_{B,\bar{f}}$ .

We first prove the orthogonality relations:

$$
\bar{f}\left(\tilde{\theta}(e_i)\tilde{\theta}(e_j^{\#})\right) = \bar{f}\left(\tilde{\theta}(e_ie_i^{\#})\right) = \theta \circ f(e_ie_i^{\#}) = \theta(\delta_{ij}) = \delta_{ij} \in S.
$$

To prove that we have a basis as claimed, note that the elements  $\tilde{\theta}(e_1),\ldots,\tilde{\theta}(e_n)$ clearly span B, since  $\tilde{\theta}$  is surjective. Suppose that for some  $\{s_i\} \subset S$  we have  $\sum_i s_i \tilde{\theta}(e_i) = 0$ . Then, for all j,

$$
0=\bar{f}(0)=\bar{f}\bigg(\sum_is_i\tilde{\theta}(e_i)\tilde{\theta}(e_j^\#)\bigg)=s_j.
$$

It follows that  $\tilde{\theta}(e_1),\ldots,\tilde{\theta}(e_n)$  are independent, and thus form a basis. The orthogonality relations show that  $\{\tilde{\theta}(e_1^{\#}), \ldots, \tilde{\theta}(e_n^{\#})\}$  is a basis as well.

In the next result, let  $\theta: R \to K$  be any surjective K-linear ring homomorphism, where  $K$  is a field.

PROPOSITION 4.2: The element  $\omega_{A,f}$  is either a unit in A or a zero divisor.

- (i) If  $\omega_{A,f}$  is a unit in A, then  $B = \theta_*(A)$  is semisimple.
- (ii) If  $\omega_{A,f}$  is a zero divisor and  $ann(\omega_{A,f}) \nsubseteq \text{Ker } \tilde{\theta}$ , then  $\theta_*(A)$  is not semi*simple.*

*Proof:* If  $\omega_{A,f}$  is a unit, then there exists a  $u \in A$  such that  $\omega_{A,f}u = 1_A$ . But then, by 4.1

$$
\omega_{B,\bar f}\tilde\theta(u)=\tilde\theta(\omega_{A,f})\tilde\theta(u)=\tilde\theta(1_A)=1_B,
$$

so  $\omega_{B,f}$  is a unit as well.

All Frobenius extension structures on A are given by  $(A, f \circ \overline{\beta}(u))$ , for some unit  $u \in A$  [1, Proposition 2, *mutatis mutandis*]. Thus, if  $\omega$  is not a unit in A, then the map  $\omega_{A,f} \cdot f$  is not a Frobenius extension form. This implies that there exists an  $a \in A$  such that  $f(\omega_{A,f}aA) = \omega_{A,f} \cdot f(aA) = \{0\}$ . But f is a Frobenius extension form, so it must be that  $\omega_{A,f}a = 0$ . If follows that  $\tilde{\theta}(\omega_{A,f})\tilde{\theta}(a) = 0$ . Since, by assumption, there exists some  $a \in \text{ann}(\omega_{A,f})$  such that  $a \notin \text{Ker }\tilde{\theta}$ , we see that  $\tilde{\theta}(\omega_{A,f}) = \omega_{B,f}$  is a zero divisor as well. Both statements (i) and (ii) now follow from Theorem 3.4.

### 5. Quantum cohomology and the quantum Euler class

Let  $X$  be a 2n-dimensional compact oriented manifold which, in addition, is symplectic, and let  $H'_{2}(X)$  denote the quotient of  $H_{2}(X,\mathbb{Z})$  by its torsion subgroup. For the sake of this paper, assume that  $H'_{2}(X)$  is of rank one and that  $c_1(X)$  is positive. Taking  $B_1$  to denote a generator of  $H_2(X)$ , the group algebra  $\Lambda := K[H_2(X)]$  may be expressed as  $K[q^{B_1}]$ , where q is a formal variable and the addition of exponents is the group operation of  $H'_{2}(X)$ . This is essentially an algebraic version of the Novikov ring (see [13, §9.2]). (For a more general treatment of the algebraic Novikov ring, where  $X$  is assumed to be projective, but no assumption is made on  $H'_{2}(X)$  nor on  $c_{1}(X)$ , see [10].)

As an additive group, the quantum cohomology ring  $QH^*(X)$  has the same structure as  $H^*(X) \otimes \Lambda$ , but has a "deformed" multiplication, which we describe briefly:

The classical cup product of two elements  $a, b \in H^*(X)$  is given by

$$
a \cup b = \sum_i (\alpha \cdot \beta \cdot \gamma_i) c_i,
$$

where  $c_i$  runs over a basis for  $H^*(X)$  and  $\alpha, \beta$  are the Poincaré duals of a, b, respectively,  $\gamma_i$  is the vector space dual of  $c_i$ , and "." denotes the homology intersection index. The quantum multiplication

$$
\ast\colon QH^*(X)\otimes QH^*(X)\to QH^*(X)
$$

is defined on elements  $a, b \in H^*(X) \hookrightarrow QH^*(X)$  by

$$
a * b := \sum_{i,B} \Phi_B(\alpha, \beta, \gamma_i) q^B c_i,
$$

and extended by linearity to all of  $QH^*(X)$ . Here, B ranges over  $H'_2(X)$ , and  $\Phi_B(\alpha,\beta,\gamma_i)$  denotes the Gromov (Gromov-Witten) invariants. Intuitively, these count intersections (subject to dimension requirements!) of the cells  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma_i$  not with themselves, but with the fourth cell B. When  $B = 0$ , the Gromov invariant is the classical intersection index. Thus,

$$
a * b = a \cup b + \text{ other terms.}
$$

The dimension requirements of  $*$  are such that, with our assumptions, q may be viewed as having degree  $2c_1(B_1)$ . For details regarding the definition of quantum cohomology, and in particular proofs of the associativity of \*, see [13, 16].

Extend  $\mu^*: H^*(X) \to K$  (defined in §2) by linearity over  $\Lambda$  to a form  $\mu^*$ :  $QH^*(X) \to \Lambda$ .

PROPOSITION 5.1: *The form*  $\mu^*$  *endows*  $QH^*(X)$  *with a Frobenius extension structure.* 

*Proof:* We provide here a sketch; see [2] for a full proof.

Choose a basis  $\{e_i\}$  for  $H^*(X)$  consisting of elements which are homogenous in degree. The matrix  $[\eta]$  having i, j'th entry equal to  $\mu^*(e_i \cup e_j)$  is the matrix for the classical Poincaré pairing, and has determinant  $\pm 1$ . Note that if  $|e_i| + |e_j| \neq 2n$ then  $[\eta]_{ij} = 0$ , so in particular  $|e_i| < 2n - |e_j|$  implies  $[\eta]_{ij} = 0$ .

Let  $\iota: H^*(X) \hookrightarrow QH^*(X)$  denote the obvious inclusion map. Then  $\{\iota(e_i)\}\$ is a basis for  $QH^*(X)$ . The matrix  $[\eta_q]$  for the bilinear form associated with  $\mu^*$  and \* has *i*, *j*'th entry equal to  $\mu^*$  ( $\iota(e_i) * \iota(e_j)$ ). A straightforward degree argument and the definition of  $*$  shows that  $| \iota(e_i) | \leq 2n - | \iota(e_j) |$  implies  $[\eta_q]_{ij} = [\eta]_{ij}$ . By standard results in linear algebra on block matrices, this shows that the determinant of  $[\eta_q]$  is the same as that of  $[\eta]$ , and is therefore a unit.

Note that although  $H^*(X)$  and  $QH^*(X)$  share essentially the same basis  $\{e_i\}$ and the same Frobenius algebra form, the respective dual bases are not necessarily equal. In other words, the fact that the element  $e_i^{\#}$  is the dual in  $H^*(X)$  to  $e_i$ does not necessarily imply that  $\iota(e_i^{\#})$  is dual to  $\iota(e_i)$  in  $QH^*(X)$ . However, it does hold that the  $q^0$  term of  $\iota(e_i)$ <sup>#</sup> is in fact  $\iota(e_i^{\#})$ . It follows that the  $q^0$  term of the characteristic element  $\omega_q$  of  $(QH^*(X), \mu^*)$  is  $e(X)$ . In other words, we have:

The characteristic element  $\omega_q$  is a deformation of the classical Euler class.

Because of this, we refer to  $\omega_q$  as the **quantum Euler class**, and denote it by  $e_q(X)$ . Unlike  $e(X)$ , the quantum Euler class may very well be a unit. Strictly speaking, however, the semisimplicity result 3.4 does not apply to  $QH^*(X)$  because  $\Lambda$  is not a field and  $QH^*(X)$  is infinite dimensional (as a vector space) over K. We may, however, utilize the approach of  $\S 4$ . Define the homomorphism  $\theta: \Lambda \to K$  as follows: For each generator  $B_i$  of  $H'_2(X)$  choose any nonzero  $r_i \in K$ and define  $\theta(q^{B_i}) := r_i$ . Extending  $\theta$  by linearity over K gives a surjective ring homomorphism, often referred to as "specialization." Theorem 3.4 now applies to  $\theta_*[QH^*(X)]$ , which is a Frobenius algebra.

## 6. **The quantum** cohomology of **the Grassmannians**

Let  $G_{k,n}$  denote the Grassmannian manifold of complex k-dimensional subspaces in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Define the Chern polynomial of  $X = G_{k,n}$  to be

$$
c_t(G_{k,n}) := \sum_{i=1}^k x_i t^i = \prod_{i=1}^k (1 + \lambda_i t),
$$

where t is a formal variable and the  $x_i$ 's are the Chern classes of the canonical bundle  $S_{k,n}$ . The  $\lambda_i$  are referred to as the Chern roots of  $G_{k,n}$  (but they are *not* roots of  $c_t$ !). Obviously,  $x_i$  is the *i*'th elementary symmetric polynomial  $\sigma_i(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_k)$  in the Chern roots. Define

$$
W(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_k)=\sum_{i=1}^k\frac{1}{n+1}\lambda_i^{n+1}
$$

and

$$
W_q(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_k) = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{n+1} \lambda_i^{n+1} + (-1)^k q \lambda_i
$$
  
=  $W(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_k) + (-1)^k qx_1.$ 

The function  $W_q$  is called the Landau-Ginzburg potential of  $G_{k,n}$ . Because W and  $W_q$  are symmetric functions in the  $\lambda_i$ , they may also be viewed as functions of  $x_1, \ldots, x_k$ . Define dW to be the ideal  $(\partial W/\partial x_1, \ldots, \partial W/\partial x_k)$ , and define  $dW_q$ similarly. Then

$$
H^*(G_{k,n}) \cong K[x_1,\ldots,x_k]/dW
$$

and

$$
QH^*(G_{k,n}) \cong K[q, q^{-1}][x_1, \ldots, x_k]/dW_q.
$$

Denote by  $H$  and  $H_q$  the determinants of the Hessians

$$
\mathcal{H} = \left(\frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}\right), \quad \mathcal{H}_q = \left(\frac{\partial^2 W_q}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}\right)
$$

of W and  $W_a$ , respectively.

In this section, we will prove the following:

THEOREM 6.1:  $e(G_{k,n}) = (-1)^{\binom{n}{2}} H$  and  $e_q(G_{k,n}) = (-1)^{\binom{n}{2}} H_q$ .

Suppose that an algebra  $A$  (not necessarily a Frobenius algebra) is finite dimensional as a vector space and is given by the presentation  $A \cong K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/R$ , where  $R = (f_1, \ldots, f_p)$  is some finitely-generated ideal in  $K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ . Note that we continue to assume that K has characteristic 0. Because A is finite dimensional, we must have  $p \geq n$ . The **Jacobian ideal**  $J = J(R)$  of R is defined to be the ideal generated by the determinants of the  $n \times n$  minors of the matrix

$$
\left(\frac{\partial(f_1,\ldots,f_p)}{\partial(x_1,\ldots,x_n)}\right) \bmod R.
$$

The ideal J is well-defined since it is a Fitting ideal of the module  $\Omega_{A/K}$  of Kähler differentials of A (see  $[21, \{1.1, \{10.3\}]\$ .

The following result of Scheja and Storch [18] is reported in more generality in [21, *ibid]* (although for the definition of "complete intersection" we refer the reader to [12]):

PROPOSITION 6.2:  $J \neq \{0\}$  if and only if A is a complete intersection and J *generates the socle of A.* 

Now assume that  $A \cong K[x_1,\ldots,x_n]/R$  is a Frobenius algebra with characteristic element  $\omega$  for some choice of Frobenius algebra structure.

PROPOSITION 6.3:  $J \neq \{0\}$  *if and only if*  $J = \omega A$ *. If*  $p = n$ *, then*  $J \neq \{0\}$  *if and only if* 

$$
\det\left(\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}\right) \text{mod } R = u\omega
$$

for some unit  $u \in A$ .

*Proof:* This proposition follows immediately from 3.3 and 6.2.

PROPOSITION 6.4: *For each*  $G_{k,n}$  *there is a*  $\kappa \in K$  *such that*  $H = \kappa e(G_{k,n})$  *and*  $H_q = \kappa e_q(G_{k,n}).$ 

*Proof:* Because H and  $e(G_{k,n})$  are the  $q^0$  terms of  $H_q$  and  $e_q(G_{k,n})$ , respectively, it suffices to prove the proposition for the quantum case.

The polynomial  $W_q$  is homogeneous of degree  $2(n + 1)$  [13, §8.4]. In other words, each summand of  $W_q$  has degree  $2(n+1)$  in  $QH^*(G_{k,n})$ , where q is taken to have degree 2n. Also,  $|x_i|=2i$  for each *i*. Thus, for fixed *i*, *j* we have

$$
\left| \left( \frac{\partial^2 W_q}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right) \right| = |W_q| - |x_i| - |x_j| = |W_q| - 2i - 2j.
$$

A straightforward proof by induction on the size of minors of  $H$  shows that  $H_q$ is homogeneous of degree  $2k(n-k)$ . Of course,  $e_q$  is also homogeneous of degree  $2k(n-k)$  since  $e_q = \sum_i e_i e_i^{\#}$ , where  $e_i$  runs over a basis for  $H^*(G_{k,n})$ , and since  $|e_i^{\#}| = 2k(n-k) - |e_i|.$ 

Consider the algebra  $A := K[q][x_1,\ldots,x_k]/dW_q$ . By the definitions of  $H_q$  and  $e_q$ , and the nature of the relations given by  $dW_q$ , both  $H_q$  and  $e_q$  may be viewed as elements of A. Now, the proof of 4.1 applies equally well to the algebra A, so it is a Frobenius extension, and  $e_q$  is in fact the characteristic element of A. Proposition 6.3 shows that  $H_q = v e_q(G_{k,n})$  for some unit  $v \in A$ . Of course, v may also be viewed as an element of  $QH^*(G_{k,n})$  which simply has no  $q^i$ -terms with  $i < 0$ .

Write  $v = v' + v''$  where v' is homogeneous of degree 0, and v'' contains no terms of degree 0. Since  $H_q = v'e_q + v''e_q$  and both  $H_q$  and  $v'e_q$  are homogeneous of degree  $2k(n - k)$ , we see that  $v''e_q$  must also be homogeneous of this degree. By degree considerations, we must have  $v''e_q = 0$ , and thus  $H_q = v'e_q$ .

Write  $v' = \sum_{i>0} v_j q^i$ . Since *v'* is homogeneous of degree 0 and  $|q^j| = 2jn$ , we see that  $|v_j| = -2jn$ . But v' is an element of A, so we must have  $v_j = 0$  for  $j \neq 0$ . Thus v' may in fact be viewed as a degree 0 element in  $H^*(G_{k,n})$ . In other words,  $v'$  is an element  $\kappa \in K$ .

Take  $K = \mathbb{R}$  or C, and for any nonzero  $r \in K$  let  $\theta_r$  denote a specialization homomorphism  $K[q, q^{-1}] \rightarrow K$ ,  $q \mapsto r$  as above. In the following paragraph, any reference to  $QH^*(G_{k,n})$  or any element a therein should be interpreted as referring to  $(\theta_r)_*[QH^*(G_{k,n})]$  and  $\theta_r(a)$ , respectively.

In this context, the relationship between the characteristic element and the Hessian provides  $e_q(G_{k,n})$  with a nontrivial geometric interpretation: Denote the critical points of  $W_q$  by  $z_1, \ldots, z_j$ , and note that  $H_q$  may be viewed as a function  $K^k \to K$ , as may all the elements of  $QH^*(G_{k,n})$ . It is well known that, for each *j,*  $H_q(z_j) = 0$  if and only if the critical point  $z_j$  is degenerate [14]. Because the elements of  $QH^*(G_{k,n})$ , viewed as functions, are completely determined by their values on the critical points of  $W_q$ , we see that H (and hence  $e_q(G_{k,n})$ ) is a unit in  $QH^*(G_{k,n})$  if and only if the critical points of  $W_q$  are all nondegenerate.

This relationship between  $e_q(G_{k,n})$  and H also yields a new approach to the following known result [19]:

PROPOSITION 6.5: For all  $G_{k,n}$  and all nonzero  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ , the algebra

 $(\theta_r)_*[QH^*(G_{k,n})]$  *is semisimple.* 

The proof is based on calculations appearing in [5].

*Proof:* The Jacobian matrix  $V = (\partial x_i/\partial \lambda_j)$  associated to the elementary symmetric functions  $x_i$  is a Vandermonde matrix, and has determinant  $\prod_{i \leq j} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j) \neq 0$ . Let  $\nabla_x$  denote the gradient vector operator with respect to  $x_1, \ldots, x_k$ , and let  $\nabla_{\lambda}$  denote the gradient operator with respect to  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k$ . Viewing the gradient operators as row vectors, we have  $\nabla_x(W_q)V = \nabla_x(W_q)$ . Let  $\nabla_x(W_q)_i$  denote the *i*'th entry of  $\nabla_x(W_q)$ , and let  $V_i$  denote the *i*'th row of V. Then the Hessian of  $W_q$  with respect to the  $\lambda$ 's is

$$
\nabla^T_\lambda \nabla_\lambda (W_q) = \nabla^T_\lambda (\nabla_x (W_q)V) \n= V^T \nabla^T_x \nabla_x (W_q)V + \sum_i \nabla_x (W_q)_i \nabla^T_\lambda V_i.
$$

348 L. ABRAMS Isr. J. Math.

Evaluating at the critical points of  $W_q$  (i.e. assuming  $\nabla_x(W_q) = 0$ ), and expressing everything in terms of the  $\lambda_i$ 's, we see that

$$
H = \det \left( \nabla_{\lambda}^T \nabla_{\lambda} (W_q) \right) \det(V^{-2}) = \frac{n^k \prod_{i=1}^k \lambda_i^{n-1}}{(\prod_{i < j} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j))^2}.
$$

Now, because V is invertible, the relation  $\nabla_x(W_q) = 0$  is equivalent to  $\nabla_\lambda(W_q) =$ 0. In other words, for each i we have  $\lambda_i^n = (-1)^{k+1}q$  at the critical points of  $W_q$ . This implies that

$$
x_k \prod_{i=1}^k \lambda_i^{n-1} = \prod_{i=1}^k \lambda_i^n = (-1)^{k(k+1)} q^k.
$$

Since  $q \neq 0$ , the numerator of H, and thus H itself, is nonzero at the critical point of  $W_q$ . It follows that H, as an element of  $QH^*(G_{k,n})$ , has an inverse, and therefore, by 6.4, so does  $e_q(G_{k,n})$ . By Proposition 4.2,  $\theta_r[QH^*(G_{k,n})]$  is semisimple.  $\blacksquare$ 

As discussed above, the Chern classes  $x_1, \ldots, x_k$  arising from the bundle  $S_{k,n}$ are the elementary symmetric polynomials in the Chern roots  $\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_k$ . An analogous situation holds for the "normal" classes  $y_1, \ldots, y_{n-k}$ , which arise from the quotient bundle  $\mathbf{Q}_{k,n}$ . Define  $\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_{n-k}$  to be the Chern roots corresponding to the formal polynomial

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n-k} y_i t^i.
$$

Then for all i, we have  $y_i = \sigma_i(\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_{n-k})$ . In fact, the  $\lambda_i$ 's and  $\mu_i$ 's are the first Chern classes of the line bundles in the splitting of  $S_{k,n}$  and  $Q_{k,n}$ , respectively [6, §21]. Together with the well known bundle-isomorphism of the tangent bundle  $\mathbf{T}_{k,n} \cong \mathbf{S}_{k,n} * \otimes \mathbf{Q}_{k,n}$ , this fact allows us to write the characteristic classes  $c_i(\mathbf{T}_{k,n})$  in terms of the  $x_i$ 's and  $y_i$ 's: The Chern polynomial for  $\mathbf{T}_{k,n}$  is

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k(n-k)} c_i(\mathbf{T}_{k,n})t^i = \prod_{i,j} (1+(\mu_j-\lambda_i)t),
$$

where i and j are in the product range over possible indices  $[6, ibid]$ . In other words, for each i we have  $c_i(\mathbf{T}_{k,n}) = \sigma_i(\{\mu_j - \lambda_i\}_{i,j})$ . This shows that each  $c_i(\mathbf{T}_{k,n})$  is symmetric in the  $\lambda_i$ 's and the  $\mu_j$ 's, and can therefore be written in terms of the  $x_i$ 's and  $y_i$ 's.

In particular, the Euler class  $e(G_{k,n})$  can be lifted to a polynomial

$$
P\in K[x_1,\ldots,x_k,y_1,\ldots,y_{n-k}]
$$

or, using the relations between the  $x_i$ 's and  $y_i$ 's, to a polynomial

$$
P' \in K[x_1,\ldots,x_k].
$$

 $P'$  is referred to as the "Euler polynomial."

Bertram [5] has proven the following:

PROPOSITION 6.6: For each  $(k, n)$ , the Euler polynomial  $P'$  is a lifting of  $(-1)^{\binom{n}{2}}H_q \in QH^*(G_{k,n}).$ 

We can now prove Theorem 6.1.

*Proof of Theorem 6.1:* Proposition 6.4 shows that  $e_q := e_q(G_{k,n}) = \kappa H_q$  for some  $\kappa \in K$ . Let  $\pi: QH^*(G_{k,n}) \to H^*(G_{k,n})$  denote the module homomorphism sending  $q \mapsto 0$ . By definition, P' is a lifting of  $e := e(G_{k,n})$ , so (by 6.6) we have

$$
K[x_1, \ldots, x_k] \longrightarrow K[q, q^{-1}][x_1, \ldots, x_k] \longrightarrow P' \longrightarrow P'
$$
  
\n
$$
\downarrow \qquad \qquad P' \longrightarrow P' \longrightarrow P'
$$
  
\n
$$
H^*(G_{k,n}) \longleftarrow \pi \longrightarrow QH^*(G_{k,n}) \qquad e(G_{k,n}) \longleftarrow \pi \quad (-1)^{n \choose 2} H_q
$$

where the vertical arrows are the canonical projection maps. Now  $\pi(e_q) = e$ , by definition of the quantum multiplication  $\ast$ , so Proposition 6.4 shows that

$$
(-1)^{{n \choose 2}}e = \pi \left((-1)^{{n \choose 2}}e_q\right) = \pi \left((-1)^{{n \choose 2}}\kappa H_q\right) = \kappa e,
$$

and thus  $\kappa = (-1)^{\binom{n}{2}}$ .

### 7. Quantum **cohomology of** hypersurfaces

For the sake of contrast with the Grassmannians, this section provides another class of examples of a quantum cohomology ring, and determines which of these are semisimple. In [20], Tian and Xu discuss a more general class of examples along these lines from the point of view of a different notion of semisimplicity, as introduced by Kontsevich and Manin in [11].

Let  $X \subset \mathbb{C}P^{n+r}$  be a smooth complete intersection of degree  $(d_1, \ldots, d_r)$  and dimension  $n \geq 2$  satisfying  $n \geq \sum (d_i - 1) - 1$ . Let  $\Gamma$  denote the hyperplane class generating  $H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ . By the "primitive cohomology  $H^n(X)_0$  of  $X$ " we mean  $H^{n}(X)$  if n is odd, and the subspace of  $H^{n}(X)$  orthogonal to  $\Gamma^{n/2}$  if n is even. Beauville shows in [4] (although he unnecessarily presumes  $q = 1$ ) that  $QH^*(X)$ is the algebra over  $K[q, q^{-1}]$  generated by  $\Gamma$  and  $H^{n}(X)_{0}$ , subject to the relations

$$
\Gamma^{n+1} = d_1^{d_1} \cdots d_r^{d_r} \Gamma^{d-1} q
$$

and, for all  $a, b \in H^n(X)_0$ ,

$$
\Gamma a = 0
$$
 and  $ab = \langle a, b \rangle \frac{1}{d} \left( \Gamma^n - d_1^{d_1} \cdots d_r^{d_r} \Gamma^{d-2} q \right).$ 

Here,  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the classical intersection form  $a \otimes b \mapsto f(a \cup b)$ , where  $f :=$  $(\Gamma^n)^*$ .

PROPOSITION 7.1: Let X denote a hypersurface of degree d. For any nonzero  $r \in$ *K, if d > 2 then*  $(\theta_r)_*[QH^*(X)]$  *is not semisimple. If d = 2 then*  $(\theta_r)_*[QH^*(X)]$ *is semisimple.* 

*Proof:* Denote  $(H^n(X)_0 : K)$  by R, and choose a basis  $e_1, \ldots, e_R$  for  $H^n(X)_0$ . Together with the elements  $1, \Gamma, \Gamma^2, \ldots, \Gamma^n$ , this provides a full vector-space basis for  $QH^*(X)$ . Thus the characteristic element of  $(QH^*(X), f)$  is

$$
\omega = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \Gamma^{i} \Gamma^{n-i} + \sum_{i=1}^{R} e_i e_i^{\#}
$$

$$
= (n+1)\Gamma^{n} + \frac{R}{d} (\Gamma^{n} - d^{d} \Gamma^{d-2} q).
$$

Notice that if  $d > 2$  then  $\omega$  is divisible by  $\Gamma$ , so  $\omega e_1 = 0$  (for example). Since  $e_1 \notin \text{Ker }\tilde{\theta}$  (it is a basis element for any choice of coefficients!), Proposition 4.2 shows that  $(\theta_r)_*[QH^*(X)]$  is not semisimple for any choice of  $r \in K$ .

If  $d = 2$  then we have

$$
\omega = (n+1+\frac{1}{2}R)\Gamma^n - 2Rq,
$$

and thus  $\Gamma \omega = 4(n + 1)q\Gamma$ . Order the basis for  $QH^*(X)$  as follows:  $1, \Gamma, \Gamma^2, \ldots, \Gamma^n, e_1, \ldots, e_R$ . Then the matrix  $[\bar{\beta}(\omega)]$  corresponding to  $\omega$  under the regular representation  $\beta$  is



Since the determinant of this matrix is a unit in  $K[q, q^{-1}]$ , we see that  $\omega$  is a unit in  $QH^*(X)$ ; by 4.2 this shows that  $(\theta_r)_*[QH^*(X)]$  is semisimple for any choice of  $r$ .

Vol. 117, 2000 QUANTUM EULER CLASS 351

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT: The author thanks Jack Morava for his guidance of the research leading to this article. Aaron Bertram, Steve Sawin and Geng Xu were also helpful in correspondence and conversation, and Peter Landweber graciously assisted with proof-reading.

### **References**

- [1] L. Abrams, *Two-dimensional topological quantum field theories and Frobenius algebras,* Journal of Knot Theory and its Ramifications 5 (1996), 569-587.
- [2] L. Abrams, *Frobenius Algebra Structures in Topological Quantum Field Theory and Quantum Cohomology,* Doctoral dissertation, The Johns Hopkins University, May, 1997.
- [3] F. W. Anderson and K. R. Fuller, R/ngs *and Categories of Modules,* second edition, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1992.
- [4] A. Beauville, *Quantum cohomology of complete intersections,* Preprint alg-geom/9501008, 1995.
- [5] A. Bertram, *Towards a Schubert calculus for maps from a Riemann surface to a Grassmannian, International Journal of Mathematics* 5 (1994), 811-825.
- [6] R. Bott and L. W. Tu, *Differential Forms in Algebraic Topology,* Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982.
- [7] B. Crauder and R. Miranda, *Quantum cohomology of rational surfaces,* in The *Moduli* Space of *Curves* (Texel Island, 1994), Progress in Mathematics 129, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1995, pp. 33-80.
- [8] C. Curtis and I. Reiner, *Representation Theory of Finite Groups and Associative Algebras,* Interscience Publishers, New York, 1962.
- [9] B. Dubrovin, *Geometry of 2d topological field theories,* in *Integrable Systems and Quantum Groups,* Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1620, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996, pp. 120-348.
- [10] E. Getzler, *Intersection theory on*  $\overline{M}_{1,4}$  and elliptic Gromov-Witten invariants, Journal of the American Mathematical Society 10 (1997), 973-998.
- [11] M. Kontsevich and Yu. Manin, *Gromov-Witten classes, quantum cohomology, and enumerative geometry,* Communications in Mathematical Physics 164 (1994), 525-562.
- [12] E. Kunz, *Introduction to Commutative Algebra* and *Algebraic Geometry,*  Birkhäuser, Boston, 1985.
- [13] D. McDuff and D. Salamon, *J-holomorphic Curves and* Quantum *Cohomology,*  American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1994.
- [14] J. W. Milnor, *Morse Theory,* Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1963.
- [15] J. W. Milnor and J. D. Stasheff, *Characteristic Classes,* Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1974.
- [16] Y. Ruan and G. Tian, *A mathematical theory of quantum cohomology,* Journal of Differential Geometry 42 (1995), 259-367.
- [17] S. Sawin, *Direct* sum *decomposition and indecomposable tqft's,* Journal of Mathematical Physics 36 (1995), 6673-6680.
- [18] G. Scheja and U. Storch, *Uber Spurfunktionen bei vollstandigen Durchschnitten,*  Journal fiir die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik 278/2'79 (1975), 174-189.
- [19] B. Siebert and G. Tian, On *quantum cohomology* rings of *Fano manifolds* and a *formula of Vafa* and *Intriligator,* Preprint alg-geom/9403010, 1994.
- [20] G. Tian and G. Xu, *On the semisimplicity of the quantum cohomology algebras of complete intersections,* Preprint alg-geom/9611035, 1996.
- [21] W. V. Vasconcelos, *Arithmetic of Blowup Algebras,* Cambridge University Press, New York, 1994.
- [22] E. Witten, *Supersymmetry and Morse theory,* Journal of Differential Geometry 17 (1982), 661 692.